EOEA Sustainable Design Roundtable

3/10/2005 mjh

Vision & Leadership Work Group - Interim Report to Steering Committee

Work Group Topics Work Group Members:Ed TsoiCynthia GreeneMark Hanchar*Eric FriedmanJenny RussellDan AronsCLF????

CURRENT KNOWLEDGE BASE

A. Identify and tap into Existing Resources / Network

- 1) USGBC
- 2) LEED
- 3) Green Roundtable
- 4) City of Boston Taskforce
- 5) other states (benchmarking our "competitors")
 - a) leaders? Programs? Policies? Initiatives? Exec. Orders? Implementation plans/

B. Work Group Goals

- 1) Integrate / Coordinate / Communicate
- 2) Provide Leaders with "Big Picture" vision plus links to resources
- 3) Learn & Leapfrog!!!!

SCOPE of PROBLEM

A. Key Issues

- 1) How do we make sustainable design a prevailing mindset by 2020?
- 2) How do we make sustainable design a passionate, inclusive movement?
- 3) How are we really doing? (Kyoto / climate change / water / quality of life)
- 4) Are we "missing the boat" relative to other states? highlight success of other states
- 5) need for coordinated high-level VISION
- 6) need to get state agencies to take role of leader / motivator
- 7) differentiate between new vs. renovation, public vs. private

B. Barriers

- 1) sustainable design must transcend politics must shift leaders' value systems
- 2) sustainable design could be another regulatory burden lessing competitiveness
- 3) ovecoming bureaucratic inertia
- 4) lack of knowledge of what has been done to date (ie. What resources are available?)
- 5) lack of people willing to step out as leaders / champions
- 6) lack of coordination sends mixed message (no commonly accepted Green vocab.)

POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS

A. "Push" Solutions (top - down)

- 1) give Sustainable Design Guidelines "teeth" by expanding MEPA Review Criteria
- 2) departmental policy statements / legislation (ie. Jefford) / executive orders
- 3) fund prototyping / case studies / demonstration projects (ie. MHPS for schools)
- 4) integrate Sustainable Design with "Smart Growth" initiative

B. "Pull" Solutions (bottom - up)

- 1) tie state assistance to Sustainable Design Guidelines
- 2) incentives / tax credits /
- 3) host USGBC Conference here to increase visibility and bring leaders
- 4) can Massachusetts help set favorable Sustainable Design policies at federal level?
- 5) State sponsorship of N.E.S.E.A. events

C. Market what's been done and what is currently going on

- 1) pull together existing data & resources into one easily accessible place & publicize
- 2) identify potential leaders and cultivate them to be Sustainable Design advocates
- 3) work with State leaders to create a Vision Statement and then talk it up
- 4) WGBH "Documentary" of Sustainable Design in Massachusetts
- 5) joint educational seminars (USGBC, GRT, BSA)
- 6) promote Vendor "fairs" to disseminate information about state-of-the-art

Vision & Leadership Work Group - Interim Report to Steering Committee

PROCESS PATH FORWARD

- 1) interface with other Work Groups to make all SDRT deliverables "Leader friendly"
- 2) Need "elevator speech" for Sustainable Design to serve as "sound bites" for leaders
- 3) Focus on Vision Statement
- 4) Focus on Leadership (Political / Legislative / Financial / Technical / Communication)
- 5) See attached "Roadmap"

VISION STATEMENT

A. "Given..."

- 1) Commonwealth has an annual budget for Construction & Renovations "green" it
- 2) Commonwealth has an annual budget for Operations & Maintenance "green" it
- 3) Only half of the facilities needed by the year 2040 have been built by 2005
- 4) buildings have a huge impact on environmental and human health
- 5) Commonwealth is a natural leader through funding and legislation
- 6) Sustainable Design is a companion of "Smart Growth"

B. "We shall be....."

- 1) a change agent to promote SD as a vital part of our everyday culture / mindset
- 2) a national leader in Sustainable Design
- 3) a model for others to follow
- 4) a mechanism to demonstrate how to find and implement SD practices
- 5) a forum for communication among all stakeholders
- 6) an integrator of SD techniques and strategies into other State initiatives

C. "The desired outcomes would be....."

- 1) Sustainable Design becomes the new norm
- 2) better health
- 3) improved environment
- 4) minimize consumption of precious resources
- 5) more efficient use of economic resources (reduce cost of operating state buildings)
- 6) enhance economic competitiveness (retain talented people / cheaper to operate)
- 7) higher productivity

D. Motivations

- 1) " do the right thing"
- 2) be a leader not a follower nationally
- 3) certification = prestige
- 4) save money
- 5) have less impact on environment
- 6) imporve employee health
- 7) cleaner development enhances tourism

EXTERNAL RESEARCH ASSISTANCE REQUIRED

- 1) "Benchmark our Competitors" through compilation of other states' programs
- 2) compile list of other states' leaders (how they found them, what they did)
- 3) Questionaire / Survey of public and private leaders to establish SD visibility
- 4) Where is Massachusetts today?
 - a) programs
 - b) people
 - c) resources
 - c) initiatives
- 5) what is the State's hierarchy for Sustainable Design?
- 6) understand federal opportunities / resources